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What ballistic orbit is:

a beam trajectory in absence of electromagnetic fields
...and therefore straight*
(* actually parabolic due to earth gravity, $\Delta x = 0.05 \text{ Å}$)

Problems and limitations:

with aperture of vacuum chamber:
- quad focusing is off, a special optics is needed

with stray fields:
- earth magnetic field
- remanent fields of getter pumps, etc.
- EMI from cables
- self-induced wakefields
- undulators have ‘weak focusing’
The usual MOTIVATION ...

Ballistic orbit is used to align accelerator components: quads, BPMs, etc. (ex: see linear collider papers)

It could be used in XFEL for SASE operation (see XFEL talk by V. Tsakanov, 3rd Sep.2008)

... but is not OUR primary motivation
Our primary MOTIVATION to investigate the ‘horizontal trajectory problem’ in the undulator
to investigate the relative alignment of the undulator axis w.r.t. the accelerator (hor. and ver.)

FLASH logbook (10.Nov.2008): E.Schneidmiller wrote:

“between 1 and 2.5 mm above the cross of Ce:YAG screen... ...a factor two in SASE intensity more than in the middle of the cross”

about 50 μrad from undulator axis
A little bit of history:

Beam-based alignment in undulator section:
1) measure relative offset between quads and BPMs
2) center quads to the beam
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A little bit of history:

2005  Beam-based alignment in undulator section:
   1) measure relative offset between quads and BPMs
   2) center quads to the beam

Problem: (2) failed in the horizontal plane

2006  1st ballistic trajectory in FLASH undulator section

   The (dirty) trick: using hor. steerers (H3UND...)
   (which explains the previous problem)

Problem: the steerer strength needed is large
An equivalent steerer strength will do this:

Beam deflection $\Delta x' \sim 1.1$ mrad at 0.7 GeV

Assuming: zero position and angle at the entrance!
Possible reasons (speculations and hypotheses):

1) a stray magnetic field along the undulator direction: pointing to the ceiling
   0.4 T.mm per undulator segment or 0.8 Gauss

J. Pflueger: impossible!
   measured 2\textsuperscript{nd} field integral < 10 T.mm\textsuperscript{2}
   (equivalent to \(\Delta x=10 \ \mu m\) at 1 GeV)

To check:
   a stray field is independent of beam energy
   \(\rightarrow\) the compensation has to be also independent
Possible reasons (speculations and hypotheses):

1) a stray magnetic field along the undulator direction: pointing to the ceiling

0.4 T.mm per undulator segment or 0.8 Gauss

Earth magnetic field?

in Hamburg: about 0.3 Gauss

vert. component: pointing down, < 0.3 Gauss

hor. component: parallel to FLASH direction dump
Possible reasons (speculations and hypotheses):

2) a strong kick upstream of undulator

However: beam position meas. do NOT show that

To check: switch off all magnets upstream → ballistic orbit
Possible reasons (speculations and hypotheses):

3) the undulator axis is bent to an arc about 1.1 mrad in 30 m (or 15 mm offset)

To check: the compensation has to be proportional to $E$
To decide which is the most plausible hypothesis:

- extend the ballistic trajectory upstream the und.

- do the experiment at high and low beam energies

... and this we have done in Nov. 2008
'Extended ballistic' experiment (Nov. 2008):

V. Balandin, B. Faatz, N. Goluveba

thank you!
'Extended ballistic' experiment (Nov. 2008):
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Results from ‘Extended Ballistic Orbit’ Experiment

1) Dependence on beam energy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam Energy</th>
<th>Steerer current needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5 GeV</td>
<td>-3.8 ± 0.1 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9 GeV</td>
<td>-3.7 ± 0.1 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1) Dependence on beam energy:
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1) Dependence on beam energy:

hypothesis (2) a strong kick upstream of undulator?
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2) Horizontal trajectory: BPM offsets

Measurement (0.9 GeV)
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2) Horizontal trajectory: BPM offsets?

Simulation

- $x' = -0.11$ mrad
- $x' = -0.23$ mrad

0.1 G, 0.5 GeV

0.2 G, 0.5 GeV
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2) Horizontal trajectory: BPM offsets?

![Graph showing horizontal trajectory with BPM offsets at 0.5 GeV and 0.9 GeV.]
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2) Horizontal trajectory: BPM offsets?

   a precise measurement of mag. field in the FLASH tunnel is needed
Results from 'Extended Ballistic Orbit' Experiment

3) Vertical trajectory: fits with E. Schneidmiller observation?

![Graph showing vertical trajectory measurements](image)
Results from 'Extended Ballistic Orbit' Experiment

3) Vertical trajectory:

![Graph showing vertical trajectory measurements with different GeV outputs.]
Conclusions and Outlook

1) The horizontal steering needed along the undulator is independent of beam energy

... in my opinion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hypothesis</th>
<th>probable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>stray field</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bent trajectory</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bent undulator axis</td>
<td>- - -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Solutions:
- use always steerers with same currents
- add a coil along the undulator

Suggestions:
- measure undulator field inside tunnel
Conclusions and Outlook

2) A ballistic orbit can be used to align BPMs, quads upstream the undulator if we know the strength of the earth magnetic in the FLASH tunnel (vertical comp.)

(→ this could be a nice work for a summer student)

3) Does the meas. vertical trajectory along the undulator fit with the observations of E. Schneidmiller?
   “optimum SASE between 1 and 2.5 mm above the cross of Ce:YAG screen” (any ideas?)

4) Dispersion measurements: What can we learn?
   expected problems:
   - incoming dispersion
   - enough BPM resolution
   - (if combined with BO) sensitive orbit, small ΔE

(→ this could be a nice work for a ‘Diploma’ student)
Thank you for your attention!

pedro.castro@desy.de