BC2 Chicane BPM Commissioning

01-12-09



Goals: < 5 um resolution
over 10 cm range
robust operation
for all beam shapes

7 Independent BC2 Energy Stability/Position Measurements

Measurement System	Position resolution	Energy resolution	Dynamic range
In-loop Vector Sum (drifts)	70 μm	2e-4 ± 1e-2	10 cm
Out-of-loop Vector Sum (drift-free)	70 μm	2e-4	10 cm
BC2 BPM 1.3 GHz front-end	25 μm	7e-5	80 mm
Photomultiplier Tube Monitor	15 μm to 30 μm	4e-5 to 9e-5	2 mm
BC2 BPM 10.4 GHz front-end	(3 μm to) 5 μm	1e-5	2 mm
BC2 BPM optical front-end	2 μm	6e-6	1 mm
time-of-flight with 2 BAMs	(6 fs)	(1e-5) anticipated	

BC2 BPM Beam Position Measurement Basics



left = (R16 – R56)*dE/E
right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*R16*dE/E
diff = 2*R56*dE/E

arrival = sum/2
position = diff/2

If both signals increase or decrease, you have an arrival time change

If the signals go in opposite directions, you have a position change

BC2 BPM Beam Position Measurement Basics



left = (R16 – R56)*dE/E
right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*R16*dE/E
diff = 2*R56*dE/E

arrival = z_sum/2
position = z_diff/2

If both signals increase or decrease, you have an arrival time change

If the signals go in opposite directions, you have a position change

BC2 BPM Beam Position Measurement Basics



left = (R16 – R56)*dE/E
right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*R16*dE/E
diff = 2*R56*dE/E

arrival = z_sum/2
position = z_diff/2

If both signals increase or decrease, you have an arrival time change

If the signals go in opposite directions, you have a position change

BC2 BPM Beam Position Measurement Basics



left = (R16 – R56)*dE/E
right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*R16*dE/E
diff = 2*R56*dE/E

arrival = z_sum/2
position = z_diff/2

If both signals increase or decrease, you have an arrival time change

If the signals go in opposite directions, you have a position change

BC2 BPM Beam Position Measurement Basics



left = (R16 – R56)*dE/E
right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*R16*dE/E
diff = 2*R56*dE/E

arrival = z_sum/2
position = z_diff/2

If both signals increase or decrease, you have an arrival time change

If the signals go in opposite directions, you have a position change

BC2 BPM Beam Position Measurement Basics



left = (R16 – R56)*dE/E
right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*R16*dE/E
diff = 2*R56*dE/E

arrival = z_sum/2
position = z_diff/2

If both signals increase or decrease, you have an arrival time change

If the signals go in opposite directions, you have a position change

BC2 BPM Beam Position Measurement Basics



left = (R16 – R56)*dE/E
right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*R16*dE/E
diff = 2*R56*dE/E

arrival = z_sum/2
position = z_diff/2

If both signals increase or decrease, you have an arrival time change

If the signals go in opposite directions, you have a position change



R16
T166
R3666

Measured using an oscilloscope

← **full measurement range**

Weak dependence of signal slope on beam width →



BPM Front-end

Strategies:

Low resolution Measurement helps put High resolution Measurement in range	Monitor can be periodically calibrated with a phase shifter

Tactics:

Optical method: EOM sampling (a la BAM)	HF method: BP Filter Down mix get phase


Sub-5 um resolution with this method is no problem
You can't get sub-5 um resolution with this method, drifts will kill you
Optical: EOM sampling (a la BAM)
HF: Down mix Get phase
Relying on infrastructure under-construction is dangerous
With a high frequency, maybe you can get close, but I don't know

The Experts

3 different front-end chassis constructed and commissioned

1
EOM



1 layer
not actively thermally stabilized
Short lifetime delay stages
5 um resolution

2
HF



2 layers
Actively thermally stabilized
Easy to construct
5 um resolution

3
EOM



2 layers
Actively thermally stabilized
Tedious to construct
2 um resolution

Out-of-tunnel

In Tunnel

1 pickup : 4 distinct front-ends

	HF		Optical	
	Downmixing at 1.3 GHz	**Downmixing at 10.4 GHz**	**EOM sampling with attenuated signal**	**EOM sampling with limited signal**
Resolution	~25 µm	~5 µm	~25 µm	~2 µm
Moving parts?	no	yes	yes	yes
Infrastructure required	MO, 2 VMs, VME: ADC, DAC		MLO, VM, fiber links, piezo drivers, motors, Beckhoff, VME: ADC, DSP, DAC	
ADC	Struck 108 MHz Good for this application only 40 bunches at a time		In-house 108 MHz with extras Nightmare clock bucket jumps gets whole bunch train	
Cost	10,000 EUR		30,000 EUR	

MO = Master HF Oscillator
MLO = Master Laser Oscillator
VM = Vector Modulator
EOM = Electro-Optical Modulator

EOM sampling



EOM
EBPM
Front
End



Thermal Stability



1 m fiber drifts 5-10 um / deg C
~8 m fiber in box
0.8 um drift /0.01 deg C

Thermal stability



Thermal stability

Recovery from maintenance day : 12 hours



Plate temperature changes are ~6% of outer box changes

0.12 degree change shown

Inner box floor temperature tracks tunnel temperature

2 degree change shown

Optical front-end commissioning process

- ~12 hour process repeated for each of 4 EOMs

  -Find signal
  -Adjust cable lengths (tunnel access)
  -Calibrate
  -Set up motor feedback

- Complicated by ADC clock bucket jumps
  - -Every few hours or more
  - -Requires resetting board until correct bucket is found for all channels

Finding the sample position

Adjusting cable lengths ~ 4 hours per signal + tunnel access



Calibration and Resolution

- Out of Tunnel

17 fs resolution = 55 fs/% modulation * 0.3 % amplitude detection noise

3 um resolution

- In Tunnel (short cables => drift free)

10 fs resolution = 35 fs/% modulation * 0.3 % amplitude detection noise

2 um resolution

EOM measurements

Out-of-tunnel : 3 μm resolution
In tunnel : 2 μm resolution



Only in operation for a couple of days
Difficult to keep in operation
ADCs spontaneously malfunction
Problems with buffer numbers

HF Down Mixing Front-end



Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



One phase shifter moves both signals until the left mesurement is in range

Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



One phase shifter moves both signals until the left mesurement is in range

Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



One phase shifter moves both signals until the left mesurement is in range

Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



One phase shifter moves both signals until the left mesurement is in range

Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



Another phase shifter optimizes just one side, using a lower frequency signal as a guide.

Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



Another phase shifter optimizes just one side, using a lower frequency signal as a guide.

Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



Another phase shifter optimizes just one side, using a lower frequency signal as a guide.

Using a coarse measurement to put fine measurement in range



Another phase shifter optimizes just one side, using a lower frequency signal as a guide.

HF front-end Upper Level




Cooling block
Peltier
controller
Voltage regulator
-20 G 20 || -15 15 12 5 3 12 G G G
HF
front-end
Lower
Level
Peltier element on
metal block
underneath filter
300 mm
Clock Generation
DC motor
control
50mm
Bias

Phase Scan with Vector Modulator



BAM: 1V/ps->0.3 mm/V

Correlation with PhotoMultiplier Monitor



EBPM arrival



EBPM position



PMT position
(C. Gerth)

Correlation with PM Monitor



Drift and Resolution Measurement



right = (R16 + R56)*dE/E

sum = 2*(R16 + R56)*dE/E
diff = 0

Difference of split signals should stay constant
RMS Jitter of split signal gives monitor's resolution





Dependence on y position
and charge removed



Temperature stabilized chassis
Cable drift not included

cable phase drift and jitter on a quiet night

VM feedback on

5.3 um resolution
(with 20 m cables)



5 um drift and 5 um resolution over a quiet night



<5um rms jitter and <5um evening-morning drift

20 um drift over 3 days



ACC1 gradient scan
Off-crest ACC1

Calibration done once at beginning
VM kept sample point at zero crossing
(of one signal)
No trombone change

For a 1% energy change:

dE/E * R16 = 3.5 mm
Measured = 3.5 ± 0.1 mm
over first 2 pts => 1-2 mm range



ACC1 gradient scan
Off-crest ACC1

Calibration done once at beginning
VM kept sample point at zero crossing
(of one signal)
No trombone change

For a 1% energy change:

dE/E * R56/2 = 3.1 ps
Measured = 2.8 ± 0.4 ps
~5 ps range





GUN and LASER phase scans On-crest ACC1

Calibration done once at beginning
No VM change
No trombone change



For 1 degree of phase shift,
Sum of arrival-time change from Gun and Laser should = 2.125 ps

3.0 – 0.7 = 2.3 ± 0.2 ps/deg

1.3 GHz (coarse) signal down-mixed

5% (0.5 cm) of monitor's range
25 um resolution (RMS)





BPM (coarse)
PMT
ACC1 setpoint

Fiducializing the Trombone

Vector Modulator



Bump comes from reflection in pickup

Trombone



76 mm

Trombone Feedback On



Each measurement point averaged over 20 shots
Scan repeated 3 times

Done with higher power amps
(smaller dynamic range)

Which one is right?



Coarse and Fine BPM measurements



PMT and BPM
Sometimes they agree



Done with higher power amps
(smaller dynamic range)

PMT and BPM
Sometimes they don't



3 Independent Energy Monitors



5 Independent BC2 Energy Stability Measurements



Buffer number problems apparent here

Exactly what is available to operators on day one?



Conclusion

- HF can do the job without optical synchronization infrastructure
  - 1.3 GHz measurement in BC2 ready for users
    - DOOCS BPM server
    - Not yet linearized (2ond order polynomial parameters)
    - 25 um resolution
  - 10.4 GHz meas still needs babysitting
    - DOOCS BPM server works in principle (not bulletproof)
    - Takes ~10 seconds to settle in on a new sampling position after dynamic range is exceeded
    - Sampling location is sometimes bad => algorithm needs work
    - Trombone potentiometer adds errors => linear encoder desired
- Optical method works, but infrastructure needs development
  - 2 um resolution demonstrated
  - Motor feedbacks operated for a few hours unattended

Outlook (BC3)

- Quick fix with 1.3 GHz front-end could make (~25 um) low resolution measurement available in BC3 for machine start up

- Components for BC3 optical chassis are ordered, but it is low on priority list for optical synchronization => no stabilized link available